

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	io.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/776,242		02/12/2004	Michael R. Friton	005127.00180	2638
22907	7590	09/08/2005		EXAM	INER
	R & WITO		KAVANAUGH, JOHN T		
1001 G STREET N W SUITE 1100				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20001				3728	<u> </u>
				DATE MAILED: 09/08/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/776,242	FRITON, MICHAEL R.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ted Kavanaugh	3728
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 16(a). In no event, however, may a nill apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under Expression.	action is non-final. ace except for formal mat	-
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-37 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeya on is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in A ity documents have been (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage
	•	
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2-12-2004		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Offic PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

Art Unit: 3728

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the braided panel must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filling date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Art Unit: 3728

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 1-37 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

It is not clear what structure the "braided panel" would entail.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-3,5,7-8,13 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 5288150 (Bearman).

Bearman teaches a system comprising a mesh housing having a first and second closure system, see col. 2, lines 34-69. Regarding claim 7, the housing member can inherently be used to house a foot device.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bearman in view of Official Notice.

Bearman teaches a system as claimed except for a magnet fastener arrangement. The examiner takes official notice that it is well known in the art to use a magnet fastener arrangement as a closure. Therefore, it would have been obvious to provide one of the closures with a magnet fastener arrangement to facilitate closing the system.

8. Claims 1-8,10,11,13-17,19,21-24,26-31,33-34,37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 1382748 (Slasor) in view of US 6857204 (Davis et al).

Slasor teaches footwear comprising a closure system (10,25) that conforms over the footwear (see page 1, lines 78-85) as claimed except for the closure having mesh or braided panel. Davis teaches footwear being constructed out of mesh; see col. 5, lines 1-6. It would have been obvious to construct the closure system of Slasor out of mesh, as taught by Davis, to allow the footwear to breath.

Regarding the second closure system (shoe lace), Slasor teaches the device is worn over shoes or pumps; page 1, lines 8-14. The examiner takes official notice that it is well known and conventional in the art for shoes to having a colure system comprising of shoe laces and eyelets. This shoe laces system serves as the secondary closure system (shoe lace) as claimed.

The method claims follow the obvious steps of securing the device to the foot.

Art Unit: 3728

9. Claims 9,12,18,20,25,32,35-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the reference(s) as applied to the claims above, and further in view of US 6532687 (Towns et al).

Towns teaches a magnetic fastener arrangement for straps on footwear. It would have been obvious to provide the strap (25) of the footwear as taught above with a magnetic fastener arrangement, as taught by Towns, to further secure and adjust the strap to the footwear.

Conclusion

- 10. Applicant is duly reminded that a complete response must satisfy the requirements of 37 C.F. R. 1.111, including:
- -"The reply must present arguments pointing out the *specific* distinctions believed to render the claims, including any newly presented claims, patentable over any applied references."
- --"A general allegation that the claims define a patentable invention without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references does not comply with the requirements of this section."
- -Moreover, "The prompt development of a clear issue requires that the replies of the applicant meet the objections to and rejections of the claims. Applicant should also specifically point out the support for any amendments made to the disclosure. See MPEP 2163.06" MPEP 714.02. The "disclosure" includes the claims, the specification and the drawings.

Art Unit: 3728

11. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). Other useful information can be

obtained at the PTO Home Page at www.uspto.gov.

In order to avoid potential delays, Technology Center 3700 is encouraging

(FORMAL FAXES ONLY). Please identify Examiner Ted Kavanaugh of Art Unit 3728

at the top of your cover sheet.

Any inquiry concerning the MERITS of this examination from the examiner

FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Center at (571) 273-8300

should be directed to Ted Kavanaugh whose telephone number is (571) 272-4556. The

examiner can normally be reached from 6AM - 4PM.

Ted Kavanaugh Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3728

TK Santamba

September 6, 2005